JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE

AUSTIN COLUMBUS DALLAS LONDON

RIYADH

WASHINGTON

LOS ANGELES Be4995 NEW YORK PARIS

(SURREY & MORSE)

CLEVELAND, OHIO:44118

TELEPHONE 218 348 3439 CECOSE KRUBE CABLE ATTORNEYS CLEVELAND WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER

> 348-7198 0483-rn 190170-011-046

February 3, 1987

RECEIVED

[]B 6 1987

Assistant Attorney General Civil Davision

Robin Henderson, Esq. Office of General Counsel U.S. Department of Energy Room 6H087 1000 Independence Avenue Washington, D.C. 20585

Re: Molter v. Brush Wellman Inc.

Dear Robin:

Pursuant to the government's request, we have obtained from plaintiffs' attorney a time extension of 30 days in which to respond to the complaint. The answer or other responsive pleading is now due March 6, 1987. A copy of the stipulation is enclosed for your file.

As we discussed in our telephone conversation, plaintiffs have filed their action in the wrong court. We, therefore, drafted a Motion to Dismiss, or in the alternative for Summary Judgment, a draft copy of which I am sending with this letter. We planned to file an answer simultaneously with the motion so that we would not waive any of our other affirmative defenses.

In my correspondence to Messrs. McLaughlin, Willard and Farrell, I set out what Brush's initial investigation showed with respect to Mr. Molter's employment history at the Luckey facility.

Robin Henderson, Esq. February 3, 1987
Page 2

In the interim, we have used the October 5, 1985 date to which plaintiff refers in his complaint, for purposes of the motion to dismiss. Plaintiffs have been using October 5, 1985 as the date a definite diagnosis of his berylliosis was made.

For your own information, Mr. Molter is a confirmed case of berylliosis; Brush did not oppose his workers compensation claim.

Sincerely,

Janet L. Mille:

Enclosure

cc: J. Michael Farrell, Esq.
Patrick M. McLaughlin, Esq.
Richard K. Willard, Esq.

THE STATE OF OHIO } as. Lucas

IN THE COURT OF CONNON PLEAS

Leo E. Molter, et. al.	NO. C186-4075 #8
Leo E. Molter. El. Pia	intiff
•95•	STIPILATION FOR LEAVE TO PLEAD
Brush Wellman Inc.	endant AND JOLENAL ENTRY
We, the attorneys for the respective the defendant in the above	e parties, do hereby stipulate -captioned matter shall have until
	on of 30 days) in which to move, plead or
	en grantedleaves to plead for a total Per telephone consent by Joseph Albrechta
IT IS SO ORDERED	Attorney for Plaintiff Since I. William
Judge NOTE. The Court may terminate or shorten,	Attorness for Defendant John M. Newman, Jr. Janet L. Miller any lease to plead when in its discretion, excessive time
(as a :231) has been requested.	Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 1700 Huntington Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

LEO E. MOLTER	et al.,) c	Case No. 86-4075
	Plaintiffs,))]	udge Abood
v.		<i>)</i>)	
) E	RUSH WELLMAN INC.'S
BRUSH WELLMAN	INC.,) M	OTION TO DISMISS OR,
		Ì	N THE ALTERNATIVE,
	Defendant.) F	OR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
) A	ND MEMORANDUM IN
) s	UPPORT

Respectfully submitted,

John M. Newman, Jr.
Janet L. Miller
1700 Huntington Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(216) 348-3939

Attorneys for Defendant Brush Wellman, Inc.

Of Counsel:

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

LEO E. MOLTER et al.,	?
Plaintiffs,	Case No. CI86-4075 #8
v.) Judge Abood
BRUSH WELLMAN INC.,) ANSWER OF DEFENDANT
Defendant.	BRUSH WELLMAN INC.

2

.

•

.

Respectfully submitted,

John M. Newman, Jr. Janet L. Miller 1700 Huntington Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115 (216) 348-3939

Attorneys for Defendant Brush Wellman Inc.

Of Counsel:

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue